All Hermitian Hamiltonians Have Parity

Carl M. Bender, Peter N. Meisinger, and Qinghai Wang
Department of Physics, Washington University, St. Louis, MO 63130, USA
(February 1, 2008)

It is shown that if a Hamiltonian H is Hermitian, then there always exists an operator $\mathcal{P}$ having the following properties: (i) $\mathcal{P}$ is linear and Hermitian; (ii) $\mathcal{P}$ commutes with H; (iii) $\mathcal{P}^2 = 1$; (iv) the nth eigenstate of H is also an eigenstate of $\mathcal{P}$ with eigenvalue $(-1)^n$. Given these properties, it is appropriate to refer to $\mathcal{P}$ as the parity operator and to say that H has parity symmetry, even though $\mathcal{P}$ may not refer to spatial reflection. Thus, if the Hamiltonian has the form $H = p^2 + V(x)$, where $V(x)$ is real (so that H possesses time-reversal symmetry), then it immediately follows that H has $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry. This shows that $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry is a generalization of Hermiticity: All Hermitian Hamiltonians of the form $H = p^2+V(x)$ have $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry, but not all $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric Hamiltonians of this form are Hermitian.

PACS number(s): 11.30.Er, 03.65-w, 03.65.Ge, 02.60.Lj

arXiv:quant-ph/0211123v2 26 Nov 2002

The requirement that a Hamiltonian be Hermitian guarantees that the energy eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian are real. However, in 1998 [1] it was shown that a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian can still have an entirely real spectrum provided that it possesses $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry. For example, with properly defined boundary conditions, the Sturm-Liouville differential equation eigenvalue problem associated with the non-Hermitian Hamiltonian

$$H = p^2 + x^2(ix)^\nu \qquad (\nu > 0) \tag{1}$$

exhibits a spectrum that is *real and positive.* It was argued in Ref. [1] that the reality of the spectrum of H is a consequence of the unbroken $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry of H. A complete proof that the spectrum of H is real and positive was given by Dorey et *al* [2].

In Ref. [1] it was stated that $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry (space-time reflection symmetry) is a weaker condition than Hermiticity in the following sense. For many different Hermitian Hamiltonians, such as $H = p^2 + x^4$, $H = p^2 + x^6$, $H = p^2 + x^8$, and so on, we can construct infinite classes of non-Hermitian $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric Hamiltonians $H = p^2 + x^4(ix)^\nu$, $H = p^2 + x^6(ix)^\nu$, $H = p^2 + x^8(ix)^\nu$, and so on. So long as the parameter ν is real and positive ($\nu > 0$), the $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry of each of these Hamiltonians is not spontaneously broken and the spectrum is entirely real [3].

In this paper we show that for Hamiltonians of the form $H = p^2 + V(x)$, $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry is a generalization of Hermiticity and that the set of Hermitian Hamiltonians (for which $V(x)$ is real) is *entirely contained* within the set of $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric Hamiltonians. That is, we will show that if a Hamiltonian of this type is Hermitian, then it possesses both parity symmetry $\mathcal{P}$ and time-reversal symmetry $\mathcal{T}$.

As an example, consider the Hermitian Hamiltonian

$$H = p^2 + x^4 + x^3. \tag{2}$$

It is obvious that this Hamiltonian is symmetric under the operation of time reversal $\mathcal{T}$, where $\mathcal{T}$ transforms $p \to -p$ and $x \to x$. (The operator $\mathcal{T}$ also transforms $i \to -i$, but because the Hamiltonian is real, this fact is not relevant here.) The Hamiltonian H also possesses another discrete symmetry that can be called parity. The purpose of this paper is to show how to construct such an operator for any Hermitian Hamiltonian.

Given a Hamiltonian like that in (2) one may in principle solve the time-independent Schrödinger equation

$$H\phi_n(x) = E_n\phi_n(x), \tag{3}$$

where the eigenfunctions of H are $\phi_n(x)$ and the corresponding eigenvalues are E_n. These eigenfunctions form an orthonormal set:

$$\int dx\phi_m^*(x)\phi_n(x) = \delta_{m,n}. \tag{4}$$

From the theory of Hermitian operators we know that the eigenfunctions form a complete basis:

$$\delta(x-y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n(x)\phi_n^*(y). \tag{5}$$

Because the coordinate-space eigenfunctions are complete we can use them to represent the Hamiltonian as a matrix in coordinate space:

$$H(x,y)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}E_n\phi_n(x)\phi_n^*(y). \tag{6}$$

Let us now follow the approach of Ref. [4] to construct a new operator, which we will call $\mathcal{P}(x,y)$:

$$\mathcal{P}(x,y)\equiv\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^n\phi_n(x)\phi_n^*(y). \tag{7}$$

Observe that $\mathcal{P}$ has the following four properties: (i) The operator $\mathcal{P}$ is linear and Hermitian. (ii) $\mathcal{P}$ commutes with the Hamiltonian. (iii) $\mathcal{P}^2=1$; that is, in coordinate space $\int dz\,\mathcal{P}(x,z)\mathcal{P}(z,y)=\delta(x-y)$. (iv) ϕ_n is an eigenfunction of $\mathcal{P}$ with eigenvalue $(-1)^n$; that is,

$$\int dz\mathcal{P}(x,z)\phi_n(z)=(-1)^n\phi_n(x), \tag{8}$$

by virtue of orthonormality.

Based on property (i) the operator $\mathcal{P}$ is an observable, and based on property (ii) this observable is conserved (time-independent). Moreover, because of properties (iii) and (iv) the operator $\mathcal{P}$ exhibits the characteristics of the parity operator even though the Hamiltonian may not be symmetric under space reflection. We remark that if the potential $V(x)$ of the Hamiltonian $H=p^2+V(x)$ is invariant under the transformation $x\to -x$, then the operator $\mathcal{P}(x,y)$ in (7) is just the usual parity operator $\delta(x+y)$. Note that $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric Hamiltonians, for which $\mathcal{P}$ is a more general symmetry than space reflection are considered in Ref. [5].

We can follow this procedure to construct many different operators that commute with the Hamiltonian. For example, we can construct a "triparity" operator $\mathcal{Q}(x,y)$, whose cube is unity:

$$\mathcal{Q}(x,y)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\omega^n\phi_n(x)\phi_n^*(y), \tag{9}$$

where $\omega=e^{\pm 2i\pi/3}$, so that $\omega^3=1$. However, this operator is not an observable because it is not Hermitian.

We have shown that if a Hamiltonian of the form $H=p^2+V(x)$ is Hermitian then it is also $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetric. (The converse is of course not true.) Thus, $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry is demonstrated to be a generalization of Hermiticity.

This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy.

---

[1] C. M. Bender and S. Boettcher, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 5243 (1998).
[2] P. Dorey, C. Dunning and R. Tatao, J. Math. Phys. A**34**, L391 (2001); *ibid.* A**34**, 5679 (2001). See also K. C. Shin, J. Math. Phys. **42**, 2513 (2001) and Commun. Math. Phys. **229**, 543 (2002).
[3] C. M. Bender and S. Boettcher and P. N. Meisinger, J. Math. Phys. **40**, 2201 (1999).
[4] C. M. Bender, D. C. Brody, and H. F. Jones, Physical Review Letters, in press.
[5] C. M. Bender, M. V. Berry, and A. Mandilara, J. Phys. A **35**, L467 (2002).